

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,560	02/09/2004	Young-Hyun Kim	678-1163	1111
66547 THE FARREI	7590 06/16/200 L LAW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BOULEVA	PEARSON, DAVID J		
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	2137		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,560	KIM, YOUNG-HYUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID J. PEARSON	2137	

	DAVID J. PEARSON	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of the properties of the properties of the properties of the section of the properties of the prop	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet application in bet application.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•				
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant aruges Horiuchi fails to teach the newly added claim limitation "thereby restricting use of the content to the mobile communication terminal" (note Remarks, page 7).

Examiner disagrees. The newly added limitation is part of the claimed "encryption unit." Harada was relied upon to teach "an encryption unit for encryption the serial number and the content with the encryption key..." Harada also teaches "thereby restricting use of the content of to the mobile communication terminal" (note paragraph (0007)). Harada, much like the claimed application, teaches encryption with device specific information in order to prevent other devices from decrypting and playing the stored content (note paragraph (0009)). Horiuchi was merely relied upon for the act of storing the encryption key.